FILED

•	11		
			FILED
1	NANCY J. MARVEL Regional Counsel		2012 SEP 28 PH 2: 05
2 3	EDGAR P. CORAL Assistant Regional Counsel		U.S. EFA, REGION IX REGIONAL HEARING CLERK
4	U.S. Environmental Protection Agency Region IX		
5	75 Hawthorne Street San Francisco, CA 94105		-
6	(415) 972-3898		
7	UNITED STATES ENVIRONMENTAL PROTECTION AGENCY REGION IX		
8			
9			
10	In the matter of:)	Docket No. EPCRA-09-2012-0011
11 12	PCC Rollmet, Inc.,))	CONSENT AGREEMENT
13)	AND FINAL ORDER pursuant to 40 C.F.R. §§ 22.13(b),
14	Respondent.	_)	22.18(b)(2), and 22.18(b)(3)
15	LCONS	CNIT	ACDEEMENT
16	I. <u>CONSENT AGREEMENT</u> The United States Environmental Protection Agency, Region IX ("EPA"), and PCC		
17	Rollmet, Inc. (the "Respondent") agree to settle this matter and consent to the entry of this		
18	Consent Agreement and Final Order ("CAFO").		
19 20	A. AUTHORITY AND PARTIES		
20	1. This is a civil administrative action brought under Section 325(c) of the Emergency		
22	Planning and Community Right-To-Know Act ("EPCRA"), 42 U.S.C. § 11045(c), for		
23	assessment of a civil administrative penalty against Respondent for its failure to: (1) submit		
24	timely, complete and correct Toxic Chemical Release Inventory Forms for calendar years 2007, 2008, 2009, and 2010; (2) provide supplier patification for calendar years 2007, 2008, 2000		
25	2008, 2009, and 2010; (2) provide supplier notification for calendar years 2007, 2008, 2009, 2010, 2010, 2011, and 2012; (3) retain complete records for calendar years 2007, 2008, 2009, 2010,		
26	2011, and 2012; and (4) maintain records at the facility for calendar years 2007, 2008, 2009,		
27	2010, 2011, and 2012, all in violation of Section 313 of EPCRA, 42 U.S.C. § 11023, and the		
28	implementing regulations set forth at 40 C.	F .R . I	Part 372.
Ì			

•

.

D

C

2. Complainant is the Director of the Communities and Ecosystems Division in EPA, Region IX (the "Complainant"). Pursuant to EPA Delegation Order Number 22-3-A, dated May 11, 1994, the Administrator of EPA has delegated the authority to file this action under EPCRA to the Regional Administrator of EPA, Region IX, and pursuant to EPA Regional Order Number R1260.14B, dated May 19, 2005, the Regional Administrator re-delegated that authority to Complainant.

B. STATUTORY AND REGULATORY BASIS

3. Pursuant to Sections 313 and 328 of EPCRA, 42 U.S.C. §§ 11023 and 11048, EPA promulgated regulations on February 16, 1988 (53 Fed. Reg. 4525), setting forth requirements for the submission of information relating to the release of toxic chemicals under EPCRA Section 313. These regulations, as amended, arc presently codified at 40 C.F.R. Part 372.

12 4. Sections 313(a) and (b) of EPCRA, 42 U.S.C. §§ 11023(a) and (b), and 40 C.F.R. 13 §§ 372.22 and 372.30, provide that the owner or operator of a facility must submit to EPA and the State in which the facility is located a chemical release form published under Section 313(g) of EPCRA for each toxic chemical or toxic chemical category listed under Section 313(c) of EPCRA and 40 C.F.R. § 372.65 that it manufactured, processed or otherwise used if: (i) the facility has ten or more full-time employees; (ii) the facility is in North American Industry Classification System Code 336414; and (iii) the facility manufactured, processed or otherwise used during the calendar year the listed toxic chemical or toxic chemical category in excess of the threshold quantity established under Section 313(f) of EPCRA and 40 C.F.R. § 372.25.

21 5. Pursuant to Section 313(g) of EPCRA, 42 U.S.C. § 11023(g), EPA published a 22 uniform Toxic Chemical Release Inventory Form (hereinafter referred to as a "Form R") for 23 facilities that are subject to the reporting requirements of Section 313. Sections 313(a) and (b) of 24 EPCRA, 42 U.S.C. §§ 11023(a) and (b), and 40 C.F.R. § 372.30(d), provide that each Form R for activities involving a toxic chemical or toxic chemical category that occurred during a calendar 25 26 year must be submitted on or before July 1 of the next year.

27 6. Pursuant to 40 C.F.R. § 372.45, suppliers (i.e., owners and operators of facilities in 28 covered SIC or NAICS codes that manufacture or process listed toxic chemicals and sell or

Consent Agreement and Final Order In re PCC Rollmet, Inc.

1

2

3

4

5

б

7

8

9

10

11

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

1 distribute products containing such toxic chemicals to facilities in covered SIC or NAICS codes) 2 must notify customers about the presence and percent composition of toxic chemicals in their 3 products. 7. Pursuant to 40 C.F.R. § 372.10(a) and (b), each person subject to the reporting or 4 5 notification requirements of 40 C.F.R. Part 372 must retain complete records (as specified in the 6 regulations) for a period of three years from the date of the submission of a report under 40 7 C.F.R. § 372.30 or of a notification under 40 C.F.R. § 372.45, respectively. 8. Pursuant to 40 C.F.R. § 372.10(c), records retained under 40 C.F.R. Part 372 must be 8 9 maintained at the facility to which the report applies or from which a notification was provided. 10 Such records must be readily available for purposes of inspection by EPA. 11 C. GENERAL ALLEGATIONS 12 9. Respondent is a corporation and therefore fits within the definition of a "person," as 13 provided in Section 329(7) of EPCRA, 42 U.S.C. § 11049(7). 10. On July 25, 2011, Respondent became the owner and operator of a facility (the 14 15"Facility") in the business of manufacturing seamless alloy and stainless steel pipe, located at 16 1822 Deere Avenue in Irvine, California, that fits within the definition of a "facility," as provided 17in Section 329(4) of EPCRA, 42 U.S.C. § 11049(4). 18 11. At all times relevant to this matter, the Facility had 10 or more "full-time employees," as that term is defined at 40 C.F.R. § 372.3. 19 20 12. At all times relevant to this matter, the Facility was in North American Industry 21 Classification System Code 336414. 22 D. ALLEGED VIOLATIONS 23 COUNTS 1-8: Failure to file timely Form Rs, 40 C.F.R. § 372.30. 13. Paragraphs 9 through 12 above are hereby incorporated in these Counts 1-8 by 24 25 reference as if the same were set forth herein in full. 14. During the calendar year 2007, Respondent "processed," as that term is defined in 40 26 27 C.F.R. § 372.3, approximately 93,000 pounds of chromium, a toxic chemical listed under 40 C.F.R. § 372.65, at the Facility. This quantity exceeded the 25,000 pound threshold for reporting 28 Consent Agreement and Final Order

In re PCC Rollmet, Inc.

"processing" of that toxic chemical established under Section 313(f) of EPCRA, 42 U.S.C. § 11023(f), and 40 C.F.R. § 372.25.

15. During the calendar year 2007, Respondent "processed," as that term is defined in 40 C.F.R. § 372.3, approximately 25,200 pounds of nickel, a toxic chemical listed under 40 C.F.R. § 372.65, at the Facility. This quantity exceeded the 25,000 pound threshold for reporting "processing" of that toxic chemical established under Section 313(f) of EPCRA, 42 U.S.C. § 11023(f), and 40 C.F.R. § 372.25.

16. During the calendar year 2008, Respondent "processed," as that term is defined in 40 C.F.R. § 372.3, approximately 192,000 pounds of chromium, a toxic chemical listed under 40 C.F.R. § 372.65, at the Facility. This quantity exceeded the 25,000 pound threshold for reporting "processing" of that toxic chemical established under Section 313(f) of EPCRA, 42 U.S.C. § 11023(f), and 40 C.F.R. § 372.25.

17. During the calendar year 2008, Respondent "processed," as that term is defined in 40 C.F.R. § 372.3, approximately 42,000 pounds of nickel, a toxic chemical listed under 40 C.F.R. § 372.65, at the Facility. This quantity exceeded the 25,000 pound threshold for reporting "processing" of that toxic chemical established under Section 313(f) of EPCRA, 42 U.S.C. § 11023(f), and 40 C.F.R. § 372.25.

18. During the calendar year 2009, Respondent "processed," as that term is defined in 40 C.F.R. § 372.3, approximately 100,000 pounds of chromium, a toxic chemical listed under 40 C.F.R. § 372.65, at the Facility. This quantity exceeded the 25,000 pound threshold for reporting "processing" of that toxic chemical established under Section 313(f) of EPCRA, 42 U.S.C. § 11023(f), and 40 C.F.R. § 372.25.

23 19. During the calendar year 2009, Respondent "processed," as that term is defined in 40 C.F.R. § 372.3, approximately 68,000 pounds of nickel, a toxic chemical listed under 40 C.F.R. § 372.65, at the Facility. This quantity exceeded the 25,000 pound threshold for reporting "processing" of that toxic chemical established under Section 313(f) of EPCRA, 42 U.S.C. § 11023(f), and 40 C.F.R. § 372.25.

28

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

24

25

26

27

20. During the calendar year 2010, Respondent "processed," as that term is defined in 40

Consent Agreement and Final Order In re PCC Rollmet, Inc.

C.F.R. § 372.3, approximately 51,000 pounds of chromium, a toxic chemical listed under 40 C.F.R. § 372.65, at the Facility. This quantity exceeded the 25,000 pound threshold for reporting "processing" of that toxic chemical established under Section 313(f) of EPCRA, 42 U.S.C. § 11023(f), and 40 C.F.R. § 372.25.

21. During the calendar year 2010, Respondent "processed," as that term is defined in 40
C.F.R. § 372.3, approximately 74,000 pounds of nickel, a toxic chemical listed under 40 C.F.R.
§ 372.65, at the Facility. This quantity exceeded the 25,000 pound threshold for reporting
"processing" of that toxic chemical established under Section 313(f) of EPCRA, 42 U.S.C.
§ 11023(f), and 40 C.F.R. § 372.25.

22. Respondent was required to submit Form Rs for chromium and nickel to EPA and the State of California for calendar year 2007 for the Facility on or before July 1, 2008.

23. Respondent was required to submit Form Rs for chromium and nickel to EPA and the State of California for calendar year 2008 for the Facility on or before July 1, 2009.

24. Respondent was required to submit Form Rs for chromium and nickel to EPA and the State of California for calendar year 2009 for the Facility on or before July 1, 2010.

16 25. Respondent was required to submit Form Rs for chromium and nickel to EPA and
17 the State of California for calendar year 2010 for the Facility on or before July 1, 2011.

26. Respondent failed to timely submit the Form Rs required of it to EPA and the State
of California for calendar years 2007, 2008, 2009, and 2010 for the Facility and thus violated
Section 313 of EPCRA, 42 U.S.C. § 11023, and 40 C.F.R. § 372.30.

COUNTS 9-35: Failure to provide supplier notification, 40 C.F.R. § 372.45.

22 27. Paragraphs 9 through 12 above are hereby incorporated in these Counts 9-35 by
23 reference as if the same were set forth herein in full.

24 28. For the purposes of 40 C.F.R. § 372.45, Respondent is a supplier and thus subject to
25 the supplier notification requirements set forth therein.

26 29. For each of calendar years 2007, 2008, 2009, 2010, 2011, and 2012, Respondent did
27 not notify its customers about the presence and percent composition of four toxic chemicals
28 (chromium, cobalt, manganese, and nickel) in the products it sold or distributed to them.

Consent Agreement and Final Order In re PCC Rollmet, Inc.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

21

30. For each of calendar years 2007, 2008, and 2009, Respondent did not notify its customers about the presence and percent composition of copper in the products it sold or distributed to them.

31. By failing to provide supplier notification to its customers for four toxic chemicals in each of calendar years 2007, 2008, 2009, 2010, 2011, and 2012, and a fifth toxic chemical in each of calendar years 2007, 2008, and 2009, Respondent violated Section 313 of EPCRA, 42 U.S.C. § 11023, and 40 C.F.R. § 372.45.

COUNTS 36-41: Failure to retain complete records, 40 C.F.R. § 372.10(a) and (b).

32. Paragraphs 9 through 12 above are hereby incorporated in these Counts 36-41 by reference as if the same were set forth herein in full.

33. For each of calendar years 2007, 2008, 2009, 2010, 2011, and 2012, Respondent did not retain complete records (as specified in the regulations) for a period of three years after the submission of a report or notification as required pursuant to the record-keeping requirements set forth at 40 C.F.R. § 372.10(a) and (b).

34. By failing to retain complete records for calendar years 2007, 2008, 2009, 2010, 2011, and 2012, Respondent violated Section 313 of EPCRA, 42 U.S.C. § 11023, and 40 C.F.R. § 372.10(a) and (b).

18 COUNTS 42-47: Failure to maintain records at the facility, 40 C.F.R. § 372.10(c).

19 35. Paragraphs 9 through 12 above are hereby incorporated in these Counts 42-47 by reference as if the same were set forth herein in full.

36. For each of calendar years 2007, 2008, 2009, 2010, 2011, and 2012, Respondent did not have the records required pursuant to 40 C.F.R. § 372.10(c) readily available at the Facility for purposes of inspection by EPA.

24 37. By failing to maintain the requisite records at the Facility for calendar years 2007, 25 2008, 2009, 2010, 2011, and 2012, Respondent violated Section 313 of EPCRA, 42 U.S.C. § 11023, and 40 C.F.R. § 372.10(c). 26

27 38. Section 325(c) of EPCRA, 42 U.S.C. § 11045(c), and the Civil Monetary Penalty 28 Inflation Adjustment Rule, 40 C.F.R. Part 19, provide that any person who violates any

Consent Agreement and Final Order In re PCC Rollmet, Inc.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

20

21

22

23

1 requirement of Section 313 shall be liable to the United States for a civil penalty in an amount 2 not to exceed (1) \$32,500 for each such violation that occurred after March 15, 2004 but on or before January 12, 2009, and (2) \$37,500 for each such violation that occurred on or after 3 4 January 12, 2009. Under the Enforcement Response Policy for Section 313 of EPCRA, dated 5 August 10, 1992, and the Civil Monetary Penalty Inflation Adjustment Rule, the 47 violations 6 cited above would merit an unadjusted, gravity-based civil penalty of SEVEN HUNDRED AND EIGHTY-SIX THOUSAND, SIX HUNDRED DOLLARS (\$786,600) given the nature, 7 8 circumstances, and extent of the violations alleged.

E. <u>RESPONDENT'S ADMISSIONS</u>

39. In accordance with 40 C.F.R. § 22.18(b)(2) and for the purpose of this proceeding, Respondent: (i) admits that EPA has jurisdiction over the subject matter of this CAFO and over Respondent; (ii) admits the specific factual allegations contained in Sections I.C and I.D of this CAFO; (iii) consents to any and all conditions specified in this CAFO and to the assessment of the civil administrative penalty under Section I.F of this CAFO; (iv) waives any right to contest the allegations contained in this CAFO; and (v) waives the right to appeal the proposed Final Order contained in this CAFO.

F. AUDIT POLICY

40. EPA's final policy statement on Incentives for Self-Policing: Discovery, Disclosure, 1819 Correction and Prevention of Violations, 65 Federal Register 19618 (April 11, 2000) (the "Audit 20 Policy") has several important goals, including encouraging greater compliance with the laws and 21 regulations which protect human health and the environment and reducing transaction costs 22 associated with violations of the laws EPA is charged with administering. If certain specified 23 criteria are met, reductions in gravity-based penalties of up to 100% are available under the Audit 24 Policy. These criteria are: (1) systematic discovery of the violation(s) through an environmental 25 audit or compliance management system; (2) voluntary disclosure; (3) prompt disclosure; (4) 26 discovery and disclosure independent of government or third party plaintiff; (5) correction and 27 remediation; (6) prevent recurrence; (7) no repeat violations; (8) other violations excluded; and 28 (9) cooperation.

Consent Agreement and Final Order In re PCC Rollmet, Inc.

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

41. Complainant has determined that Respondent has satisfied all of the criteria under the Audit Policy and thus qualifies for the elimination of civil penalties in this matter. Accordingly, the civil penalty assessed in this matter is ZERO DOLLARS (\$0).

42. Complainant's finding that Respondent has satisfied the criteria of the Audit Policy is based upon documentation that Respondent has provided to establish that it satisfies these criteria. Complainant and Respondent agree that, should any material fact upon which Complainant relied in making its finding subsequently prove to be other than as represented by Respondent, this CAFO may be voided in whole or in part.

G. CERTIFICATION OF COMPLIANCE

43. In executing this CAFO, Respondent certifies that (1) it has now fully completed and submitted to EPA all of the required Toxic Chemical Release Inventory Forms in compliance with Section 313 of EPCRA, 42 U.S.C. § 11023, and the regulations promulgated thereunder; and (2) it has complied with all other EPCRA requirements at the Facility under its control.

H. <u>RETENTION OF RIGHTS</u>

15 44. In accordance with 40 C.F.R. § 22.18(c), this CAFO only resolves Respondent's 16 liabilities for federal civil penalties for the violations and facts specifically alleged in Sections I.C. 17 and I.D of this CAFO. Nothing in this CAFO is intended to or shall be construed to resolve; (i) 18any civil liability for violations of any provision of any federal, state, or local law, statute, 19 regulation, rule, ordinance, or permit not specifically alleged in Sections I.C or I.D of this CAFO; 20 or (ii) any criminal liability. EPA specifically reserves any and all authorities, rights, and 21 remedies available to it (including, but not limited to, injunctive or other equitable relief or 22 criminal sanctions) to address any violation of this CAFO or any violation not specifically 23 alleged in Sections I.C or I.D of this CAFO.

45. This CAFO does not exempt, relieve, modify, or affect in any way Respondent's duties to comply with all applicable federal, state, and local laws, regulations, rules, ordinances, and permits.

27

24

25

26

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

28

I. ATTORNEYS' FEES AND COSTS

46. Each party shall bear its own attorneys' fees and costs incurred in this proceeding.

Consent Agreement and Final Order In re PCC Rollmet, Inc.

J. <u>EFFECTIVE DATE</u>

46. In accordance with 40 C.F.R. §§ 22.18(b)(3) and 22.31(b), this CAFO shall be effective on the date that the Final Order contained in this CAFO, having been approved and issued by either the Regional Judicial Officer or Regional Administrator, is filed.

K. BINDING EFFECT

47. The undersigned representative of Complainant and the undersigned representative of Respondent each certifies that he or she is fully authorized to enter into the terms and conditions of this CAFO and to bind the party he or she represents to this CAFO.

48. The provisions of this CAFO shall apply to and be binding upon Respondent and its officers, directors, employees, agents, trustees, servants, authorized representatives, successors, and assigns.

Operations Manager

PCC Rollmet, Inc. 1822 Deere Avenue

Irvine, CA 92606

FOR RESPONDENT PCC ROLLMET, INC.:

09/19/12 DATE

16

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

28

FOR COMPLAINANT EPA:

Athre a M

ENRIQUE MANZAVILLA Director, Communities and Ecosystems Division U.S. Environmental Protection Agency, Region IX 75 Hawthorne Street San Francisco, California 94105

II. FINAL ORDER EPA and PCC Rollmet, Inc. having entered into the foregoing Consent Agreement, IT IS HEREBY ORDERED that this CAFO (Docket No. EPCRA-09-2012-boll) be entered, and Respondent shall comply with the terms and conditions set forth in the Consent Agreement. ່ມ**ອ**/ເນ STEVEN JAWGIEŁ Regional Judicial Officer // U.S. Environmental Protection Agency, Region IX

CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE

I certify that the original of the fully executed Consent Agreement and Final Order in the matter of PCC ROLLMET, INC. (Docket #: EPCRA-09-2012-0011) was filed with the Regional Hearing Clerk, U.S. EPA, Region IX, 75 Hawthorne Street, San Francisco, CA 94105, and that a true and correct copy of the same was sent to the following parties:

A copy was mailed via CERTIFIED MAIL to:

Tony Wong Operations Manager PCC Rollmet, Inc. 1822 Deere Avenue Irvine, CA 92606

CERTIFIED MAIL NUMBER: 7010 2780 0000 8389 2195

And additional copy was hand-delivered to the following U.S. EPA case attorney:

Edgar Coral, Esq. Office of Regional Counsel U.S. EPA, Region IX 75 Hawthorne Street San Francisco, CA 94105

9/28/12 Date

Bryan K. Goodwin Regional Hearing Clerk U.S. EPA, Region IX



UNITED STATES ENVIRONMENTAL PROTECTION AGENCY REGION IX 75 Hawthorne Street San Francisco, CA 94105-3901

> Certified Mail No. 7010 2780 0000 8389 2195 Return Receipt Requested

SEP 27 2012

Re: EPCRA-09-2012-0011

Tony Wong Operations Manager **PCC Rollmet, Inc.** 1822 Deere Avenue Irvine, CA 92606

Dear Mr. Wong:

Enclosed please find your copy of the fully executed Consent Agreement and Final Order, pursuant to 40 C.F.R. Sections 22.13 and 22.18, which contains the terms of the settlement reached with the EPA Region IX Toxic Chemical Release Inventory Program. Your completion of all actions enumerated in the Consent Agreement and Final Order will close this case.

If you have any questions, please contact Russ Frazer at (415) 947-4220 or have your attorney contact Edgar Coral at (415) 972-3898.

Enrique Manzanilla Director Communities and Ecosystems Division

Enclosure